Martin Luther King, Jr Assassination Conspiracy Trial and Media Reaction with James DiEugenio (2018)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA)

Uploaded by Our Hidden History (https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCbfzszfxZr4qmeZjZ_3R_Pw) on Mon Oct 01 2018.

James DiEugenio is the editor and publisher of kennedysandking.com and he's the author of 'JFK: The Evidence Today'. He's also a noted researcher of the Martin Luther King Jr. assassination and that's what we're going to talk about today. People are aware there was a civil trial in 1999 led by the King family in which the jury found that the assassination was a result of a conspiracy.

I've been wanting to talk about this case for a long time so I found an article that Jim wrote at the time about the media's reaction to the trial's outcome.

It's sort of a timeless subject to see how the press deals with events that run counter to their narratives, and Jim is always brilliant at dissecting the tropes that the media uses to kind of get around things that run counter to what they want to say.

https://kennedysandking.com/

The Media Buries the Conspiracy Verdict in the King Case by James DiEugenio
https://kennedysandking.com/martin-luther-king-articles/the-media-buries-the-conspiracy-verdict-in-the-king-case

Probe Magazine CD-ROM
https://kennedysandking.com/component/k2/probe-order-form

Full Trial Trascript from the King Center (see link at bottom)
http://www.thekingcenter.org/assassination-conspiracy-trial

William Pepper - The Execution of Martin Luther King
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mWS1KPCmOrI

Porkins Policy Radio episode 87 MLK Assasination 49 Years Later with Doug Valentine
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gwgwuB6UELY

MLK Assassination Trial: William Schaap Testimony on Govt. Disinformation
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BAKKviWQmSQ

Mark Lane's "The Trial of James Earl Ray"
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ssy-3Z5zaG0

For more related content, please visit:
http://ourhiddenhistory.org/
https://archive.org/details/@altviewstv-fanclub

00:00:00 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA)

James D Eugenio is the editor and publisher of Kennedy's and King comm and he's the author of JFK the evidence today he's also a noted researcher of the Martin Luther King jr. assassination and that's what we're going to talk about today if people are aware there was a civil trial in 1999 led by the King family in which the jury found that the assassination was a result of a conspiracy I've been wanting to talk about this case for a long time so I found an article that Jim wrote at the time about the media's reaction to the trials outcome it's sort of a timeless subject to see how the press deals with events that run counter to their narratives and Jim is always brilliant at dissecting the trope that the media uses to kind of get around things that run counter to what they want to say so that's what we're gonna discuss today but it's a it's a wider subject and I think we're gonna go a lot of places with it but thank you Jim for for talking today David yeah the article that you wanted to base this on interview around was in pro magazine in January February issue of 2000 and I wrote the article and it's about the media's treatment of the conspiracy verdict in the civil trial in Memphis conducted by William pepper in December of 1999 so William pepper who was James Earl Ray's lawyer and who was a acquaintance of dr. King now the remarkable thing about the treatment of the verdict is this the mainstream media did not have any reporter there for anywhere near close to a whole expanse of the trial and I know this for a fact because James Douglas who was reporting on the trial for probe magazine and who filed his report with us a few months earlier okay

00:02:01 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=121s)

report with us a few months earlier okay I'm back I think they were prior issue all right he was there every day Jim Douglas is the author of JFK and the unspeakable chairman of local friend he knew from Memphis were the only people there for the majority of the days of the all right and he said nobody was here not one other single person was there every single day and most of reporters were there for two or three days and then left so here's my question how on earth do you discuss a trial where you didn't have reporter there well this is something that was really kind of startling even for the MSM so we decided to go ahead and round up these views of the trial and prepare an article for them about them rather for probe okay and so which is what we did to New York Times well before you uh can you just kind of briefly tell what you know what the facts of or the trial and just briefly what they what they found just for people who are here with us the trial itself the trial itself was what has termed the civil trial okay which means one private party is suing another private party over what's called damages all right so in this particular case it was the King family bringing the charges against a man named Lloyd ours who owned the tavern in Memphis at the time now in 1993 Loyd Jowers had gone on national television with Sam Donaldson on ABC and talked about his part in a conspiracy to kill Martin Luther King and he said that his role was to receive the murder weapon from Gnaeus up from the guy running the show all right and then give it to an assassin and then have the

00:04:01 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=241s)

it to an assassin and then have the assassin give the rifle back to him and then he would go ahead and give it back to his aider and abettor would giving it to him in the first place all right now as time went on william pepper worked more and more on this angle and by around 1996 1997 he was ready to go ahead and reopen the king case in a criminal trial in a criminal trial in other words to state versus defendant on a murder charge and so he actually did try and get the case reopened upon two sets of new evidence one was the jurors presentation the other one was forensic ly to try and show that the murder weapon in evidence was not the weapon that was used to kill King well what happened was what the people in Memphis did not want to happen in other words the power brokers and Memphis did not want this happened the John's that the case was assigned to Judge Joe Brown actually was in sympathy with pepper trying to reopen the case Judge Joe Brown is the later became famous TV judge but he's a very interesting character I think if you have a chance Leno sanik of black-op radio at black-op radio calm has several good interviews of him in his back catalogue if you go to his website and can find those interviews and get your hands on those they're really excellent I suggest people listen to those and so he actually was going to go ahead and sanction rifle tests to see if the rifle of an evidence was actually the rifle used to kill King so they did a round of tests and they came back inconclusive

00:06:00 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=360s)

tests and they came back inconclusive there was a scar in the track of the rifle on these tests that was not reported in the first round of tests done by the FBI all right it was it was hard to explain how that got there so judge Brown was gonna sanction a second round of tests you know did the scar come from a buildup of residue in the rifle in the intervening years because if it didn't then it's not the rifle that killed King all right because that wasn't there and so Brown said okay this is what we're gonna do we're gonna go ahead and have a solution a chemical solution which will not damage your rifle Bearer at all and we're going to use that to clean the rifle barrow and then we're gonna retest and if the scar is still there okay then I don't think we have a match well when judge Brown said he was gonna do that the city of Memphis rose up in rebellion against him the local DA's office the state attorney general okay the meet the major media the Memphis commercial appeal and to make a long story short what ended up happening was that the same thing that happened at Jim Garrison back in 1967 on the Kennedy case the same thing that happened to Richard Sprague in 1976 on the JFK case and the same thing that happened with Gary Webb in the late 1990s on the CIA and crack cocaine so what happened was that when Brown was on vacation there was a kind of unauthorized invasion of his office by guy named Roberts in cahoots with a judge named Colton Colton was the guy whose court the original case was assigned to way back there in 1969 but during a routine rotation it

00:08:00 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=480s)

1969 but during a routine rotation it had been assigned to brown in the 90s well Colton and Roberts went ahead and hijacked the files out of Judge Browns chambers while he was on vacation judge Brown was very angry about this he blamed in a local Republican politics but this was more or less the beginning of the end okay for Brown's reinvestigation of the Kennedy case she's mad that Martin Luther King murder case and so once he got back he was removed from the case by the appeals court the local prosecutor Campbell was overjoyed at this okay because now the case would not have to be reopened and he wouldn't have to go ahead and retry the case on a criminal ground all right now I adhere that when this happened when the criminal case was finished in Memphis it more or less coincided with the death of James Earl Ray okay he passed away around this same time period okay and now all the great work that bill pepper had done on this case you need he wrote that good book orders to kill he had done all this wonderful research you know in in Memphis found these new witnesses you know and it seemed you know that it was now essentially gonna go down the drain because now of course there was no defendant and the case as far as being conspiracy was pretty much wrapped up in Memphis so the purse the people you have to give the credit to for keeping the thing going and getting it into a civil court is the family of

00:10:01 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=601s)

it into a civil court is the family of Martin Luther King I don't there's any question about that all right they decided to go ahead with the case and they decided to file a civil suit in Memphis against Jowers and this trial took place in the latter part of 1999 I believe in November and December of 1999 I think the verdict came in on December the 8th okay of 1999 and there's actually a book that contains the entire transcript of the trial it's called the thirteenth juror all right uh and a literal cascade of information came out at this trial I mean it's it's it's really kind of incredible you know when you go ahead and look at all the great stuff you know that that um pepper was able to introduce into the court record all right I mean it's really I mean just to give you some highlights all right there's a fascinating a couple of witnesses by the name of Floyd Newsome okay and Eadie read it all right and a third one Gary Williams who testified how somebody called off to private bodyguards that King usually had when he came to that city and they all said they really don't know how the heck could happen all right but whenever King had come to Memphis and he had been there before a few weeks earlier of course for the sanitation workers strike he had his own private security detail he also had a surveillance detail okay that his people would just watch out from across the street to see if anything was coming up well that whole detail was called off on

00:12:01 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=721s)

well that whole detail was called off on this particular visit now what pepper did at the trial is he coupled that evidence with the testimony of a man named Leon Cowan who was a friend with the manager of the Lorraine Motel Walter Bailey and Colin testified that Bailey received a call from a member of dr. King's group in Atlanta the night before he arrived in Memphis and the request was to change King's room Bailey was not interested in doing that and that he didn't want to do it but the guy insisted that they wanted to change the room from an inner courtyard room all right to an outside balcony room now I don't have to tell you how important that is okay I think anybody who knows anything about the King case understands how important that is because if the room had not been changed then the assassination could not have taken place at least not the way that it actually did from allegedly a rifleman across the street hitting King as he comes out to the balcony of his room so - when you couple the stripping of the security with the changing of the room well things start getting really kind of fishy don't they alright so in other words now what pepper did is he went ahead and he began to bring witnesses in who questioned the official story of where the fatal shot came from all right see if you believe the Memphis authorities the shot came from a place called Bessie's boarding house which is right above Jim's grill which was a ours

00:14:00 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=840s)

right above Jim's grill which was a ours place okay and it came from a communal bathroom okay about something like about 280 feet away well first of all who the heck would everyone to use a communal bathroom to fun if you're an assassin because what that means of course is that anybody could knock on the door or come in and you and want to use the bathroom or be waiting for you okay when you ran out all right right okay and so I mean that's got to be one of the dumbest things I've ever heard of all right okay so what pepper did is he brought in about three witnesses who disagreed with the location of the shot coming from Bessey's a rooming house and these were Earl Caldwell a former New York Times reporter okay was one of them Solomon Jones who was King the chauffer driver okay and Maynard Stiles who his testimony was really interesting first of all Caldwell and and Jones both said they thought the shot came from below the balcony these bushes below the balcony now Maynard Stiles received a phone call the next morning saying and that the bushes had to all be trimmed and cut down get this the next morning he gets an order from the department you know the parks the far and saying we want all the bushes trimmed and cut down so in other words the next morning they weren't there so in other words the idea of trying to say that the shot came from the bushes below was now really hard to make stick because there weren't any bushes okay this is the crime scene they're uprooting the crime scene yes really something the next step in the trial was

00:16:01 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=961s)

something the next step in the trial was and by the way I think you're being understand why the media didn't want to talk about this trial okay was the testimony of a guy named Arthur Haynes okay Arthur Haynes was going to be James Earl Ray's original attorney me all right and he was gonna defend him back in 1968 eventually what happened is that James Earl Ray made a terrible mistake and he got rid of Haynes and replaced him with Percy Foreman which as Ray later said was probably the biggest mistake I ever made in my life all right but he was prepared to go to trial which firm Forman was not going to do and so Haynes decided to do some investigation which Forman did not do and he found a witness by the name of guy Connie p-- who owned a five and dime store okay underneath Bessey's boardinghouse and he said because the strongest evidence against Ray was that he so he's he supposedly dropped a bundle of his belongings as he was running from the scene okay and this included the rifle right well knie said to Haynes cuz that bundle was on my foyer my exterior foyer 10 minutes before the shot went on all right now you don't get very much better evidence than that okay in other words somebody was trying to frame James Earl Ray by depositing that his items there now another very interesting is that they produced okay was a man named William Hamblin who knew a cab driver named James McGraw James McGraw

00:18:01 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=1081s)

driver named James McGraw James McGraw was called to Betsy's boarding house to pick up a guy named Charlie Stephens okay this was around the time of King's assassination he is supposed to drive him home because a guy was stoned drunk he said that when he went into the room to try and pick up Charlie Stephens that he looked into the communal bathroom across the way he said the door was wide open okay there was nobody there at that particular time all right and he said Charlie Stephens was dead drunk okay well of course Charlie Stephens is a guy who was gonna identify Rey as the assassin coming out of that bathroom for the authorities in order to get him sent back from London to the United States how could he identify him the guy was dead drunk now there was also evidence at the trial produced about the mysterious guy named Raul Raul is the guy who James Earl Ray said that he met up in Canada okay in 1967 and Raul hired him in Montreal rather in Montreal Canada in the summer of 1967 and Ray said he hired him to do odd jobs for him you know like delivering things picking things up okay and he would do this all throughout the country from the east coast to the west coast and so the whole idea was to try and locate Raul because jurors said that the guy who dropped off the rifle to him on the day of the assassination was werewolf okay the same guy and so what happened is that pepper decided to go ahead and try and find other witnesses who knew of this guy named Raul all right and had had seen him before and to

00:20:02 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=1202s)

right and had had seen him before and to see it witnesses all matched up and they ended up doing that all right in fact pepper went ahead and he found at least three witnesses okay who went ahead who identified the very same picture of this guy named Earl Warren to the point that pepper actually thought that he found reward okay who was our living eye it was either New York or Philadelphia in 1997 but he would never answer the door he would always send his daughter you know or his wife to Hampshire the door he would never answer the door to be interviewed if that's not good enough for you there is also there was also some very interesting evidence introduced about the role of the military intelligence in the stalking and perhaps a shooting of King Douglas Valentine is a pretty prominent author about CIA operations who wrote a book called the Phoenix program in 1990 that of course was about the notorious program in Vietnam to snuff out and torture and kill sympathizers to the Vietcong all right well when he was doing that book Doug talked with some veterans who had been redeployed okay from Indochina to do surveillance on 60s anti-war movement in the United States and he found out that the Army's 111th military intelligence group kept King under 24-hour a day surveillance its agents were actually there in Memphis in April of that year when King was killed all right and from his information they reportedly washed and took photos while Kings assassin moved in the position

00:22:02 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=1322s)

Kings assassin moved in the position fired and walked away there are a couple things there's the Doug Valentine interview on Porkins policy radio which I will put a link to in the comments now what's so interesting about that is that also produced the trial was a guy named kartha Whedon who was a captain of fire station across the street from the Lorraine Motel the place where King was killed down all right he testified that he was on duty the morning of the 4th April 4th and to u.s. army officers approached him and they wanted to look out for the motel they carried briefcases and indicated they had cameras so kartha would Dean shuttin of the roof to fire station he left them at the edge of the northeast corner behind the parapet wall and from the air course who had a bird's-eye view of the motel so in other words this matches the honest scene evidence matches with the information that duck Valentine had dug up all right so that's that's very very interesting and a lot of people would consider it quite powerful he also got a guy named Jack Terrell who had worked for the CIA during the illegal war against Nicaragua who knew a friend of his a guy named JD hill all right and JD JD hill okay had actually been a member of the Army sniper team that was supposed to shoot a so-called unknown target on April the 4th they were supposed to take up positions in Memphis but that mission was suddenly canceled ok and when he heard what happened to King the next day he realized what he was going to be there for all right so all of this stuff came out including and I have to mention this as the final summary of the trial a guy named Walter Fauntroy was a very

00:24:01 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=1441s)

guy named Walter Fauntroy was a very good friend of Kings he had worked for the House Select Committee in the 1970s and the House Select Committee did an inquiry into both the King case and the JFK case font ray font Roy said that when he left Congress he had the opportunity to read through the Luminess files on the King assassination including stuff he had never seen before he said this material that he saw were reports from Jagger Hoover and he learned that in the three weeks before King's murder Hoover had a series of meetings with people in the CIA and military intelligence about the Phoenix operation and so Phan Troy of course asked himself what would Jake or Hoover be doing having meetings about the Phoenix operation if he's running the FBI in the United States all right okay kind of puzzling isn't it all right definitely he also discovered that there had been green like the other witnesses said that there had been special agents and military intelligence officers in Memphis when King was killed so far I decided that he was gonna write a book on the subject and so when the word got out that he was gonna write a book he was investigated and charged by the Justice Department about violating financial reports as a member of Congress all right his lawyer couldn't believe this okay because this was a technicality on a misstated check from years before and so but they but he got the message you know we'll get you for something if you go ahead and write this book so font-weight didn't write the book and so that's how big the cover-up is in Washington on this particular on this particular case well as I said all right as I said what happened is that pepper ended up winning the case and in

00:26:01 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=1561s)

pepper ended up winning the case and in fact the jury came in within two hours after the presentation was over and they said that there was a conspiracy in the King case now I should add peppers credit that's the second time he did this because back in 1993 he had done and I have to say this very well done mock trial for I believe was HBO and that mock trial on the King case back then I thought was much better than the one done later with Vincent Bugliosi and Jerry Spence for Thames television you know on the JFK case it was much more realistic alright and for example in that particular case you actually had James Earl Ray able to take the stand you know and it was much more of what you would actually have seen if there had been a real trial so pepper won that particular case and now he won this particular case in 1999 and he was robbed of his opportunity to actually do the criminal case by the removal of Judge Joe Brown so in other words the guy had a pretty sparkling record so after he won this civil trial the media had to find a way to go ahead and discount that because of course if it's allowed to stand it shows the public is right about this stuff that James Earl Ray did not shoot King that Lee Harvey Oswald did not shoot JFK that Sirhan Sirhan did not kill Robert Kennedy and so this would have been another indication that all those as they call him wacky conspiracy theories are actually correct so what did they do number one they did not send any one

00:28:02 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=1682s)

number one they did not send any one single reporter to the trial to stay there day in and day out not one but then they went ahead and by let me add to that not even the local newspaper right not even the Memphis right to Memphis commercial appeal not even the local newspaper had their guy there every day a guy named mark Fusco work I think his name is Puss Korea okay um and so what Jim told me and I go well Jim how did he file these reports then he goes Jim Mark Pierce Cahuilla was very very seldom in the courtroom what mark would do is he would wait all day outside and wait for me to come out and then I would have to brief him on what happened that day in court [Laughter] so Jim Douglas was giving the Memphis commercial appeal their information to print on the King trial that's how bad I mean to me nothing illustrates just how bad the mainstream media is on these cases but without having somebody there they still have to find a way to go ahead and discount what had happened without reporting on it well the New York Times decided to bury their story on page 25 and they reported that what does this mean you know there was a vast conspiracy that it was alleged but not proved ah I had to tell you David I think what I just summarized is pretty good

proof that there was a pretty big conspiracy in the King case you know but if you don't report on it then you can say that it was a vast conspiracy that was alleged but not proved all right well when the jury accepts it does not that mean it's proof it don't know same

00:30:00 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=1800s)

that mean it's proof it don't know same thing in the LA Times they placed a story on page 24 and they also tried to go ahead and discount the verdict they even put their resident black scholar a guy named Earl affari Hutchison he they assigned him to write an editorial and his column said that Bill pepper was one of those has worked up to victim hit angle especially hard and he said that James Earl Ray himself had stoked conspiracy flames by saying that he was framed and recanting his guilty plea and here it is here's the final summary by Earl affari Hutchison but despite the Memphis verdict the evidence is irrefutable that Ray was a triggerman now how you can come to that conclusion without mentioning what went on in the courtroom is really really hard to believe but that's how you know that's how extreme and that's how uninformed and that is how stubborn these people will be in order to stay with the MSM you know then there was a u.s. News & World Report okay who said that William pepper was a man prone to bizarre conspiracy theories and it said the Shelby County DA's office was not at the trial well of course not because it was a civil trial it was not a criminal trial so you're not gonna have they had the office there all right then they got another mainstream media guy David Garrow who wrote an article and said we don't know who precisely aided in the bedded Rae but anybody who doesn't accept her as a gunman is from Waze Roswell New Mexico you know well that that's an old one isn't it somehow if they believe in conspiracies and you also believe in aliens coming here from other planets you know you

00:32:02 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=1922s)

here from other planets you know you know abductions and all this other stuff you know right if you can believe someone can be shot then you have to believe in Martians and then and then if you can believe it's a chop it all off what happened they got Jerry Posner who was not at the trial to go ahead and visit all of the major shows to write a column that was distributed you know throughout the country going ahead and criticizing the trial so III really couldn't believe that all right but but it really did happen oh all right this is what he says okay the Memphis trial wasn't a search for the truth but a ploy to obtain a judicial sanction for a convoluted conspiracy theory embraced by the King family I won't even comment on their the way jabbers is a man considered to lack credibility but every local state and federal prosecutor who looked at the matter that's what he says next and then he says that only the state prosecutors okay and their report in the case are credible because they said there was no conspiracy as I just mentioned earlier in the show it was very obvious that that the local DA's office was next mention of the state DA's office did not want to do the case at all because they I think sensed that if Brown had been allowed to go ahead and finish up the rifle to us it would've been proven that that particular weapon was not the weapon to fired the bullet that killed Martin Luther King all right and then he says he has to get personal he says the pursuit of genres by the Kings quote will only diminish your standing as the first family of civil rights and permanently damage their credibility all right so and then of course they actually put him in a couple TV shows to

00:34:01 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=2041s)

actually put him in a couple TV shows to go ahead and and do the same thing and then I don't remember anybody saying were you with the trial Jerry okay I don't remember anybody saying that they never challenged his credentials to go ahead and write about this a you know an event that he had never seen alright so that's how crazy this thing god and by the way let me answer another point the fact that the commercial appeal was not there that meant that nobody was getting any day-to-day updates you know while the trial was going on because also as Jim Douglas discovered Court TV it was called Court TV back then it's called true TV now they pulled out of the king case three days before the trial began they were gonna be set up to cover the case so you would have had a video and audio record you know of the case but Jim told me Jim I couldn't believe it three days before the guy said we're pulling out you know I asked him I

said he didn't know why I mean isn't that really bizarre so anybody ever tells you that the media in this country is not rigged that the media in this country is not controlled that the media in this country is not one-sided this is a great great example that that is all a bunch of BS and it's all true okay this coverage of the King case is wonderful evidence to prove everything that we've been all along about how bad the media is in this country so I it was just just and by the way I have to add when Jim Garrison conducted his trial of clay Shawn back in 1969 both the local papers to States item and The Times Picayune covered that trial in other words and

00:36:00 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=2160s)

covered that trial in other words and what I mean is this they had a reporter right down there in the courtroom every single day and they would rotate the reporters and then when the breaks came they would go ahead and transfer their notes over the phone to the to the main office of the newspaper and then that is how the day-to-day reports got in and I know this because I talked to somebody who actually was a Jack Dempsey who covered the trial and so day by day you would get daily reports okay if you wanted to watch that trial and of course if you want to subscribe from out of town you could have followed a trial by subscribing to their mail thing okay their mailing the paper to you you couldn't do that in this trial that was such a disgrace so thank God that the I think the King family went ahead and I think they put up the transcript on the the King website okay or the other way you can get it is from the that book the thirteenth juror but Jim Douglas for probe had the only contemporaneous report on all that terrific evidence that pepper was producing at that trial and that is that is just an utter disgrace that our little magazine you know our little magazine that we did on a five-and-dime budget okay was the only place you could read a summary of the King jours case because everything else was this BS that I'm telling you about or the Gerald Posner you know twisted stuff you know from a guy wasn't even at the trial okay and this is what I mean about the media in this country you know and so excuse me if I get a little angry about it but you know I think I'm pretty justified in getting angry about it so this is a perfect example you know of why people don't

00:38:00 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=2280s)

example you know of why people don't believe the mainstream media anymore because they deserve it right but and this is the era of or that was in 1999 I mean that wasn't long after the OJ Simpson trial where that was on day in and day out on the news 24/7 so it wasn't like courtroom drama was out of fashion yeah well let's put it let's put it this way the King trial I believe was so important because the on earth evidence that pepper God pretty much confirmed everything that would everybody who really studied that case believed you know that King towards the end of his career alright because not forget this King was killed something like at about three weeks before the Poor People's Campaign was supposed to begin you know that was supposed to be his remember 1963 in the march on Washington this was supposed to be that was for civil rights this was supposed to be for economic rights and I don't think there's any coincidence that the Poor People's Campaign failed whereas the march on Washington succeeded okay if you take out King as Vernon Young said in that HBO special King in the wilderness you know says after King was killed things kind of fell apart well yeah okay you know there's no surprise you know so that's another thing to remember about that and also the fact that is what pepper was saying if Valentine is accurate then the war in Vietnam with the Phoenix program had a direct impact on King's murder they transferred the operation you know to the United States stateside now I advise everybody if you really want to try and understand this you know I've kind of just skimmed it okay but if you get the probe CD I think that has get that I'm positive it has Jim's article

00:40:01 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wVhL7yPHIqA&t=2401s)

that I'm positive it has Jim's article in it okay if you want to get the book the assassinations that has Jim's article in it and it was if you had to boil it down it looked like on the operational level that it was a three sided conspiracy between two CIA I believe Raul was a CIA operator military intelligence as the Hitman and the mob a guy named Frank Liberto supposedly paid showers a hundred thousand dollars to go along with the conspiracy now today a hundred thousand dollars doesn't sound like a lot of money but it'd be the equivalent

back in 1968 of about eight hundred and fifty grand okay so he got paid off royally to just you know give somebody a rifle and give back somebody a rifle and that's essentially how it worked all right so I give Jim Douglas all the credit in the world that he deserves for being the only guy in that trial day after day and he assembled a marvelous report on it yeah I think that was great I think it was a good overview of the case and the media's reaction to it okay thank thank you so much David great thanks this is our hidden history you

---

END